

(b) whether the Government propose to fill up these vacancies; and

(c) if so, by what time?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (c). Information is being collected, and to the extent available, will be laid on the Table of the House.

### **Outstanding Loan Against D.T.C.**

6020. DR. MAHADEEPAK SINGH SHAKYA: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of loan advanced by the Union Government to D.T.C. outstanding as on March 31, 1992;

(b) whether the Government are contemplating to write off this loan in view of the financial crisis being faced by D.T.C.; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) As on 31.3.92, loans amounting to Rs. 651.38 crores are outstanding against DTC.

(b) and (c). No decision has been taken to write off the loans advanced to DTC.

[English]

### **Prosecution of Persons Involved in alleged Unauthorised Transfer of Fund**

6021. SHRI ANNA JOSHI:  
SHRIMATI RITA VERMA:  
SHRI BALRAJ PASSI:  
SHRIMATI KRISHNENDRA  
KAUR:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred

Question No. 3653 on December 13, 1991 and state:

(a) the reasons for non-execution of detention orders in time and the action taken against the persons responsible therefor;

(b) the number of persons against whom persecution proceedings have since been completed; and

(c) the action taken so far against each of them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) The detention orders could not be executed in this case as documents running into several thousand pages could not be photocopied in time. Moreover, it was planned to execute the orders in respect of all these persons simultaneously as they belonged to a single group but this could not be done due to the difficulty of getting several thousand pages photocopied in time. Later, due to the delay in the execution of the orders, it was felt that there was on live link and it was decided to launch prosecution proceeding expeditiously. Therefore, the question of taking action against persons responsible does not arise.

(b) and (c). The prosecution proceedings have been launched in 1986 under section 56 of the F.E.R.A, 1973 against 11 persons out of which two cases have been discharged by the Court and the remaining cases are pending at the trial stage in the Court.

[Translation]

### **Export of Footwears and Footwear Components**

6022. SHRI JAGMEET SINGH BRAR: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state: